The U.S. Charter School Landscape: Extant Literature, Gaps in Research, and Implications for the U.S. Educational System

Marytza A. Gawlik Florida State University

Abstract

More than 20 years into the implementation of public charter schools, the U.S. experience can inform policymakers and others about how to achieve the best possible results through charter school policies. This paper describes the history and current state of the charter school movement, presents a conceptual model of the charter school system, and reviews the extant research on charter school outcomes. The paper concludes with a discussion of the implications of the findings, reviewed for policymakers and researchers, and a list of remaining research topics in the field of charter school research.

Keywords

charter schools, charter school policies, charter school movement, charter school outcomes

Introduction

Charter schools have been in existence in the United States for more than 20 years and the sector has experienced incredible growth over the past decade. This surge is partly due to families and children, particularly low-income families and children, seeking opportunities beyond their traditional public schools because of dissatisfaction with traditional public schools (Berends, 2015). Teachers, parents and/or community organizations (Wohlstetter et al., 2013) started the first generation of charter schools, which began in the 1990s and continued through most of the decade. The first generation charter schools were mostly targeted at students who had not been well served by traditional public schools (Wohlstetter, Smith & Farrell, 2013). Early charter schools established specialized curricula to appeal to at-risk students, to special education students, and to

English-learner students. The second generation of charter schools, which is marked by the late 1990s and early twenty-first century, sought to address questions of accountability, autonomy, and the effects of charters on district reforms. It was also marked by the development of state technical assistance centers to assist in the expansion of charter schools. Finally, with the third generation of charter schools, post 2006, or so, questions about charter schools shifted from whether they would survive, to how to improve quality (because they will persist). It's important to note that the institutionalization of charter schools is a critical part of the educational landscape because it has

Corresponding Author:

Marytza Gawlik, Department of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies, Florida State University, 1114 W. Call Street, Tallahassee, FL 32306 Email: mgawlik@fsu.edu

Global Education Review is a publication of The School of Education at Mercy College, New York. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Citation: Gawlik, Marytza A. (2016). The U.S. charter school landscape: Extant literature, Gaps in research, and implications for the U.S. educational system. Global Education Review, 3(2). 50-83

attracted state and federal dollars for expansion and turning around of low-performing schools (Wohlstetter et al., 2013). While proponents claim charter schools represent an experiment in innovation, the charter school movement is not without critics. Some have argued vehemently against these schools because they have not lived up to their promise of improving student outcomes and the school system as a whole. In this review of the literature on charter schools, I examine the research that has been conducted over the last 20 years and highlight the issues that need further investigation.

The Charter School Movement

Charter schools are public schools that are granted more autonomy than public schools in exchange for meeting certain conditions outlined in a charter agreement; these schools have become a significant part of the American urban education landscape. In 1991, the state of Minnesota passed the first charter law in an effort to infuse choice, innovation, and improvement, to address parental dissatisfaction with traditional public schools. Minnesota's charter schools were the result of the state's long tradition of public school choice, which was welcomed by the state legislature and governors (Wohlstetter et al., 2013). Since that time, more than 40 state legislatures have adopted laws promoting the development of charter schools. These laws have resulted in more than two million students attending more than 6,000 charter schools throughout the United States (Kirst, 2007; Center for Education Reform, 2015). In several large cities such as New Orleans and Washington, DC, charter schools now represent more than one-quarter of all public schools.

Five states - California, Florida, Arizona, Ohio and Texas – host the vast majority of charter schools in the United States. Charter schools are concentrated in urban areas, which are home to approximately 53 percent of all charter schools (Gross et al., 2012). This concentration likely reflects both need and demand. Individuals are more likely to support the opening of charter schools in areas where student achievement is low and parents want more options. New Orleans, Louisiana and Washington, D.C. are the two U.S. districts with the highest charter school enrollment. More than 70 percent of students in New Orleans and almost 40 percent of students in Washington, D.C. are enrolled in charter schools and almost all the students enrolled in these charter schools are African American. (National Alliance of Public Charter Schools, 2014).

Charter schools appeal to a broad range of political and ideological groups including neoliberals, neoconservatives, the religious right, parents and teachers in urban areas, and the middle class (Wells, Grutzik, Carnochan, Slayton & Vasudeva, 1999); however, each of these factions supports charter schools for a different reason (Apple, 1996; 2001). Neoliberals view charter schools as a way to facilitate school choice and competition whereby their belief in competition is crystallized and choice is the focus irrespective of the consequences. Neoconservatives and the religious right are more interested in removing government restrictions via deregulation and decentralization in order to create schools that emphasize moral values and religious teachings. The urban faction, whose members have traditionally been plagued by under-funded and poor-performing schools, views the charter school movement as a way to create better schools for their children. Finally, the middle

class sees charter school reform as a way to augment returns on their investments in public schooling (Apple, 1996; 2001). The wideranging appeal of charter schools has, to a certain extent, ensured their survival during party changes in government (Wells et al., 1999).

The charter school movement is not without critics. Diane Ravitch has argued that charter schools have not lived up to their promise of improving student outcomes and the school system as a whole (Ravitch, 2010). She argued that charter schools have been usurped by privatization and that the charter school movement poses significant dangers to the public education system. "The development of the past two decades have brought about massive changes in the governance of public education, especially in urban districts. Some children have gained; most have not. And the public schools, an essential element in our democracy for many generations, have suffered damage that may be irreparable" (Ravitch, 2013 p. 179). According to her assessment, the evidence base for scaling up charter school reform through federal policy and programs is weak. Other critics such as Jeffrey Henig, a professor at Columbia University have commented that charter schools fall short in contributing to a more integrated public school system (Wohlstetter et al., 2013). As he points out, there was some hope that the choice model would lead to a more natural and sustainable integration at the school level and argues that this has not happened. He has also critiqued that there are significant gaps geographically where charters are located and another critic, Charles Payne of the University of Chicago, has supported this line of argument (Wohlstetter et al., 2013). Similarly to Ravitch, both Henig and

Payne point out that charter schools have fallen short of expectations when it comes to student outcomes.

The Charter Concept—A Conceptual Model of the Charter School Movement

In their review of the charter school movement in Michigan, Miron and Nelson (2002) outlined the essential components of the charter concept. Figure 1 presents their model. The left panel includes three policy changes-choice, accountability and deregulation-meant to increase school autonomy; these changes do not stipulate detailed charter school actions, but rather create an "opportunity space" in which charter schools can operate (Miron & Nelson, 2002, p. 4). In the first policy change, the charter school system allows parents a choice in their children's education, which supporters argue will improve education via competition (since funding moves with students), so charter and public schools that fail to attract and retain students will be closed. Choice also involves a sorting process whereby parents choose the best mix of educational services for their children, which allows each school to focus on a narrow set of educational preferences (Miron & Nelson, 2002). The second policy change is a new form of accountability in which charter schools must achieve certain outcomes as specified in their charters (Gawlik, 2012; Fuller 2000; Miron & Nelson 2002). The third policy change, deregulation, allows charter school leaders to choose which methods they will employ to meet these goals (Miron & Nelson 2002).

Opportunity Space/ Intermediary Goals Principal and Teacher **Structural Changes** autonomy Outcomes/ **Final Goals** Innovative **Family Choice** curricula/pedagogy Student Achievement Privatization Customer Accountability Satisfaction Governance Deregulation Equity/Access to New **Educational Opportunities Customer Satisfaction** Parental and Community Involvement

Figure 1. Structural changes, goals, and outcomes of the charter school concept (Miron & Nelson, 2002).

The central panel of Figure 1 lists intermediate goals that delimit the opportunity space in which charter schools can experiment; charter school laws often define such intermediate goals in an attempt to encourage charter schools to use their autonomy in certain ways (Miron and Nelson, 2002). These goals include greater autonomy for principals and teachers, innovative curriculum and pedagogy, increased privatization of services (e.g., food service, nursing care), innovation in school governance, and increased equity or access to new educational opportunities.

The right panel of Figure 1 includes the two most common outcomes that serve as final goals within the charter concept: student achievement and customer satisfaction (however, there is significant controversy about which outcomes charter schools should be required to meet) (Miron & Nelson, 2002). Many charter school state laws include a focus on raising student achievement, and authorizers¹

often use test scores for accountability purposes (Wohlstetter et al., 2013). With regard to customer satisfaction, some school choice scholars have argued that in an open market system, the best indicator of a school's quality (and thus an important final goal of charter schools) is its ability to attract, satisfy, and retain customers (i.e., parents) (Miron & Nelson, 2002).

In this paper, I review the literature on charter schools using Miron and Nelson's conceptual framework of the charter school model. This purpose of this paper is to examine the state of charter schools against these various intermediary and final goals. I draw mostly on literature from education and several specific areas including segregation, innovation and autonomy. First, I outline the methods used to select articles for the review. Next, I review the research on charter school outcomes, focusing on student achievement. Finally, I discuss the

implications of the extant research for charter schools and the US education system.

Methods

To examine the literature on charter schools, I reviewed research and scholarly studies available that reported evidence on the goals of the reform as outlined by the charter school concept. Specifically, to understand where research had materialized across the intermediary and final goals of the conceptual framework, I focused on the seven intermediary goals and the two final outcome goals for my data analysis. Following Light and Pillemer (1984), this review of research attempted to draw from a wide net in accessing every study available on the topic dating from the inception of the reform.

In all, 85 published works were collected that dealt with the intermediary and final goals of the charter school concept. Published works were compiled through regular and exhaustive Web searches, ERIC searches, and comprehensive literature review of publications focusing on charter schools. Of those, a substantial number were not based on systematic observations of charter schools but instead took hardline positions either as advocates or critics; as such, they were not useful in understanding the goals of the reform and were set aside. Of those offering evidence on the goals of the charter school reform collected in any systematic manner, none were excluded. Consequently, this article analyzes the goals of the charter school reform in 40 studies. Together, this broad collection of studies represents the most comprehensive research available on the topic of charter schools and provides a rich set of data.

Of these reports, 38 have been published since 2000 and several focus on charter schools that have been in operation for several years. Studies analyzed used various methods of

inquiry to gather data: interviews with teachers, principals, parents and employees, observations, quantitative analysis including survey data and randomized design. The studies were undertaken by a number of organizations, groups, and individuals with a range of interests in charter schools. Most studies and reports came primarily from economics and education. Please see Appendix A for a detailed summary of articles reviewed.

Final Goals or Outcomes of Charter School Reform

Student Achievement

While researchers have conducted many studies of student achievement in charter schools over the past 20 years, this research is of varying quality (Berends, 2015). Betts and Hill (2010) explained that this research has improved over the past decade for two reasons: the growing prevalence of value-added analyses of longitudinal student data, and an increase in the number of charter schools holding lotteries for student selection, which allows researchers to employ a randomized design.

The question remains, have charter schools increased student achievement? The results are mixed with some studies finding minor effects and others finding no effects. Only a handful of studies have found larger effects. According to Berends (2015), it depends on the data, location and methods employed. Some studies using lottery-based randomized designs have found that academic achievement gains are greater for students who attend charter schools than for those in traditional schools; however, these studies have largely used data from urban centers such as New York City and Boston (Abdulkadiroglu et al., 2009; 2011; Angrist et al., 2011; Dobbie & Fryer, 2011; Hoxby & Murarka, 2008; Hoxby et al., 2009). Hoxby et al. (2009), for example, conducted a longitudinal study of lottery-based charter schools in New York City

and found that, relative to students in traditional schools, charter school students' third grade scores for mathematics and English were .14 and .13 standard deviation units higher, respectively. The authors concluded that, with regard to gains in mathematics performance, students who attended charter schools in New York City for a longer period of time (e.g., kindergarten through eighth grade) matched their peers in more affluent suburban schools. These gains led to an 86 percent reduction in the mathematics achievement gap and a 66 percent reduction in the English gap. But the method used for measuring student achievement was criticized since it relied on a statistical model that made it impossible to pinpoint how much of the improvement on tests could be attributed to charter schools (Reardon, 2009). Reardon assumed that Hoxby et al. inappropriately extrapolated the effect of charter schools over time. Similarly, in an analysis of students who won and lost charter school lotteries in the Harlem Children's Zone in New York City, Dobbie and Fryer (2011) found that in both math and English, the effects of charter elementary schools were large enough to close the achievement gap. Charter school students gained approximately .2 standard deviations per year in each subject.

Abdulkadiroglu et al. (2011) found large positive effects of charter schools in Boston. Middle-school students who won a charter school lottery outscored lottery losers who attended traditional public schools by .4 standard deviations in mathematics and .25 standard deviations in English. This effect size was large enough to reduce the black-white reading gap in middle school by two-thirds and eliminate the black-white mathematics gap.

Other studies using a lottery-based randomized design to analyze broader samples of schools have found more mixed effects of charter school enrollment on student achievement (Furgeson et al., 2012; Gleason et al., 2010). Gleason et al. (2010) examined 36 charter schools in 15 states and found no significant effects on mathematics and reading achievement. Furgeson et al. (2012) employed lottery-based and quasi-experimental approaches to examine 22 charter management organizations (CMOs) and found no significant overall effects of charter school enrollment on student achievement in math. At the organizational level, 11 CMOs had significant positive effects, 7 had significant negative effects and 4 had no significant effects.

Researchers using quasi-experimental methods have found mixed results for the effect of charter schools on student achievement (Booker et al., 2007; Davis & Raymond, 2012; Hanushek et al., 2007; Bifulco & Ladd, 2006; Sass, 2006; Zimmer & Buddin, 2006; Zimmer et al., 2009, 2012). Studies of this type most commonly show that students in charter schools and those in traditional public schools perform at similar levels. For example, Zimmer et al. (2009, 2012) examined charter schools in seven states and found no statistically significant overall charter school effects.

The Center for Research on Educational Outcomes (CREDO) at Stanford University conducted two of the most important quasi-experimental charter school studies in 2009 and 2013. Each study compared the academic performance of students at charter schools and traditional public schools (CREDO, 2013). The results of the first study (published in 2009) were extremely controversial. The controversy centered on a number of aspects of the study including the methodology and the interpretation of the results.

The 2013 CREDO study included the 16 states that were part of the original study as well as 11 additional states (including Florida) (CREDO, 2013). The expansion of the sample states significantly improved the reliability of the

findings and strengthened the credibility of the research. The 2013 study included three separate analyses. The first analysis highlighted trends in charter school performance since the 2009 study (CREDO, 2013) by examining data from the original 16 states to determine whether achievement among charter school students had improved in these schools since 2009 (CREDO, 2013). The second analysis also focused on the schools in the first cohort but excluded data for schools that had closed since 2009, allowing researchers to measure the overall performance of the schools as compared to their earlier measures (CREDO, 2013). The third analysis examined newly opened or newly tested schools that were not part of the original study, and thus shed light on systemic advances in the charter school movement that produced stronger schools (CREDO, 2013).

In general, findings from the 2013 study showed aggregate improvements in both math and reading results since 2009 in charter schools. Compared to traditional public schools, charter schools in the 27 focal states had slightly larger gains in reading (CREDO, 2013) and similar gains in math (CREDO, 2013). But these gains were so small they did not warrant any significance. In the schools included in both the 2009 and the 2013 studies, those in several subgroups—Blacks, Hispanics, low SES students, English language learners (ELL), and special education students—all improved in both reading and math. Hispanic students performed well in reading, low SES students performed well in math, and English language learners performed well in both reading and math. Because the new cohort of schools served a larger portion of students in poverty and Hispanic students (relative to the schools included in the 2009 study), these results were significant (CREDO, 2013). A clear limitation of the study (as noted in the report) was its focus

on only one measure of a schools' effectiveness: state test scores.

In summary, the extant literature reveals a few key findings about the effects of charter schools on student achievement: First, some studies, especially those conducted in urban areas where the need for school reform is greatest, have found significant positive effects of charter schools albeit the methods employed are in question (Abdulkadiroglu et al., 2009; 2011; Angrist et al., 2011; Dobbie & Fryer, 2011; Hoxby & Murarka, 2008; Hoxby et al., 2009; Reardon, 2009). Charter schools have a wide range of effects on student achievement (Furgeson et al., 2012; Gleason et al., 2010; (Booker et al., 2007; Davis & Raymond, 2012; Hanushek et al., 2007; Bifulco & Ladd, 2006; Sass, 2006; Zimmer & Buddin, 2006; Zimmer et al., 2009, 2012). While some studies (such as the CREDO studies) find a positive but small effect of charter school achievement relative to traditional public schools, these are initial results and must be interpreted with caution (CREDO, 2013).

Customer Satisfaction

The charter school concept posits customer satisfaction as both an intermediary and final goal of the reform. Gauging customer or (really) parental satisfaction is critical at every juncture of the reform. While a few studies have examined parental satisfaction in charter school families, the research is sparse. Lacey et al. (2006) surveyed students, teachers, administrators and auxiliary personnel in five charter schools in Miami-Dade County and Broward County, Florida; the researchers concluded that parents were most satisfied with administrative leadership, high expectations for students and school climate and least satisfied with school resources.

Solomon (2003) surveyed 11,777 parents in Arizona charter schools, asking about

satisfaction with academic programs, teaching, facilities, discipline, and school mission. Parents were most satisfied with the school's academic program and teaching. The author also asked parents to grade their child's school using a traditional "A+" to "F" scale; 66.9 percent gave their child's school an "A+" or "A." Miron, Nelson and Risely (2002) found similar results in an evaluation of Pennsylvania's charter schools; most parents enrolled their children in a charter school because of the good teachers and high-quality instruction. Overall, parents were very satisfied with their school's education program, but less satisfied with the school's facilities and financial stability.

Finally, Wohlstetter, Nayfack and Mora-Flores (2008) reported the results of an initial survey of potential stakeholder satisfaction for charter schools in Southern California. The findings show that, overall, parents reported positive levels of satisfaction with charter schools. In addition the study showed that, parents, especially those whose children attended new charter schools, were only moderately satisfied with school facilities and the support services offered to students, but these concerns were addressed through school improvement efforts as charter schools aged.

Intermediary Goals of the Charter School System

Have Charter Schools Increased Principal and Teacher Autonomy?

Perhaps the most distinctive feature of charter schools compared to traditional public schools is the significant autonomy granted to principals and teachers. The assumption is that this increased autonomy will lead to improved student achievement by allowing principals and teachers to adapt instruction to the particular needs of their students. Recent research sheds light on how much autonomy principals and teachers in charter schools have relative to the

faculty in traditional schools, and whether this autonomy has improved the performance of faculty members.

Gawlik (2008) found that a range of variables can affect the level of autonomy granted to charter school principals. Through an analysis of the nationally representative Schools and Staffing Survey (NCES, 1999), Gawlik identified two significant barriers to perceived principal autonomy: state and district policies. In addition, the type of charter school—start-up versus conversion—affects principal autonomy. Principals in start-up charter schools, but not in conversion charter schools, had more autonomy than traditional public schools. Finally, principal autonomy is linked to state laws concerning unionization and whether principals have hiring and firing rights (Adamowski, Therriault & Cavanna, 2007).

A second goal related to autonomy in charter schools was that teachers would become more involved in school decision-making processes, which would lead to greater commitment on the part of teachers. Researchers have compared charter school teachers and their counterparts in traditional schools with respect to decision-making authority over staffing, curriculum and the budget, which are key components of increased autonomy. Malloy and Wohlstetter (2003), for example, interviewed 40 teachers in six urban charter elementary schools in California and found that in schools where the principal had created a "sense of team" (p. 235), teachers were more involved in decision making. This psychological belief translated into positive behavior because teachers frequently served on grade-level teams to create school-wide initiatives, such as developing a program to boost family engagement (Wohlstetter et al., 2013).

A case study of four charter schools in California (two start-ups and two conversion

schools) found that in the two start-up charter schools and in one of the conversion schools teachers reported that they experienced increased autonomy compared to previous employment experience in traditional public schools (Gawlik 2007). However, teachers still experienced constraints: Teachers from both of the conversion charter schools felt restricted by district oversight, especially with regard to accountability measures, and all of the teachers felt constrained by state-level accountability measures. In addition, several teachers commented that they felt restricted by their CMO. At times, increased autonomy could prove to be problematic. Some of the teachers who reported having an adequate level of autonomy had difficulty knowing how to handle this autonomy in the classroom. It was concluded that the charter school system expanded teacher input in the areas of instructional activities, curricular innovation, hiring and evaluating faculty, and budget decisions.

Crawford (2001) also examined teacher autonomy in charter schools using a survey of nearly 400 teachers working in charter and noncharter schools in Colorado and Michigan. The author found that the difference in teacher perceptions of autonomy was negligible between the two types of schools. Through interviews and observations at a charter school serving sixth to twelfth grade, Margolis (2005) found that while teachers enjoyed greater autonomy and had increased decision-making authority in charter schools, they felt this autonomy was a burden and they reported being overwhelmed with both administrative and instructional duties. Finally, Marshall, Gibbs and Greene (2001) examined autonomy from the other side—the perceptions of teachers in traditional schools; the authors found that, in general, teachers and administrators at four non-charter elementary schools (n=140) desired more

autonomy and believed that charter schools would allow teachers more independence than traditional schools.

Have Charter Schools Produced Innovation in Curricula and Administration?

Support for the charter concept is based on the argument that autonomy and accountability will produce innovations in curricula and administration that will improve student outcomes (Chubb & Moe, 1990; Walberg & Bast, 2003). Lubienski (2003) assessed three dimensions of innovation in charter schools: (1) whether the novel practice is an educational change (a change in curricular content or instructional strategies with an impact at the classroom level) or an administrative change (an organizational-level change that impacts the structural operations of the school but does not affect the classroom); (2) the extent to which the practice is established and familiar or original or unique; and (3) whether the practice appears at the local, state, and national levels.

Using 56 reports of innovation in charter schools including state-level evaluations and other research reports that provide evidence regarding innovative practices, Lubienski (2003) found that organizational, administrative, and structural changes, such as merit-pay for teachers and smaller class size, were prominent in charter schools. In contrast, while Lubienski observed a few innovative classroom-level practices (e.g., the use of technology in instruction, individualized instruction), in general, practices referred to as charter "innovations" such as hands-on learning, cooperative learning, or a "back-to-basics" approach, were all strategies that can and often do occur in traditional settings. Overall, Lubienski (2003, 2004) concluded that there is little evidence that charter schools have produced innovative instructional strategies,

and that "although some organizational innovations are evident, classroom strategies tend toward the familiar" (p. 416). Even America's most highly regarded charter schools are not very innovative. For example, KIPP (the Knowledge is Power Program), which emphasizes strict discipline, a college prep curriculum, and high expectations for students and teachers, strives to meet these high expectations via intensified instruction rather than novel instructional practices.

The Growth of Privatization of Charter Schools

The most visible manifestation of privatization in charter schools is the increasingly visible role of private charter management organizations (CMOs) and education management organizations (EMOs) (Miron et al., 2010). CMOs (e.g., KIPP, YES Prep, Green Dot Schools and Aspire) are nonprofit organizations that operate like districts; these organizations typically manage multiple charter schools and establish new ones. EMOs are similar, but are for-profit organizations.

The percentage of CMO-managed charter schools increased from 11.5 percent in 2007-08 to approximately 20 percent in 2010-11. In contrast, the percentage of EMO-managed charter schools remained relatively stable during the same time period, even though the number of EMO-managed charter schools increased from 441 in 2007-08 to 649 in 2010-11 as the charter school sector grew (National Alliance for Public Charter Schools, 2014).

The percentage of CMO charter schools making adequate yearly progress (AYP) increased from 62.3 percent in 2007-08 to 66.4 percent in 2009-10, whereas the percentages of EMO schools and freestanding charter schools making AYP decreased during the same years (from 53.4 percent to 50.8 percent for EMOs and from 62.2 percent to 58.9 percent for freestanding charters). Although EMOs claim

they raise students' academic achievement, outside researchers have not reached the same conclusion (Horn & Miron, 2000; Nelson & Van Meter, 2003).

Have Charter Schools Increased Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities?

Proponents of charter schools argue that charters can help alleviate the racial and economic segregation prevalent in the public education system. However, while charter schools might allow poor and minority students to attend schools that were previously inaccessible to them (Finn, Manno & Vanourek, 2000), critics assert that charter schools actually reinforce segregation on the basis of income, race, ethnicity and other categories (Miron et al., 2010; Arsen, Plank & Sykes, 1999; Cobb & Glass, 1999; Horn & Miron, 2000) because charter schools tend to attract only one kind of student, usually defined racially or ethnically.

While all charter schools are obliged by federal law to offer enrollment to any student and to hold a lottery if the number of students seeking to enroll exceeds the number of spaces available (Wohlstetter et al., 2013), some studies have maintained that charter schools are able to replicate inequalities via selection methods. Ausbrooks (2002) found that more than half of the 36 states with charter school laws at the time were "silent on the issue of geographic boundaries, and those that include provisions include no guidance as to how boundaries may be established without discriminating against certain racial and socioeconomic groups." (p. 191) In addition, Ausbrooks found that almost half of state laws did not address the issue of student transportation, creating a disadvantage for students without their own means of transportation, and nearly three quarters did not address information dissemination, allowing charter schools to market to specific neighborhoods or types of families (Wohlstetter

et al., 2013). These legislative omissions—as well as charges that choice programs were shaped by the middle and upper-middle classes and marginalize low-income and minority families—have fueled claims that charter schools have led to increased segregation (Wohlstetter et al., 2013).

Using panel data for individual students, Bifulco and Ladd (2007) examined the effect of charter schools in North Carolina on racial segregation and black-white test score gaps. The authors found that North Carolina's system of charter schools has increased the racial isolation of both black and white students. The typical African American charter school student attended a school that was more than 70 percent African American, while his non-charter counterpart attended a school that was less than 50 percent African American. The analysis suggests that the asymmetric preferences of black and white charter school students (and their families) for schools of different racial compositions help to explain why there are so few racially balanced charter schools. In addition, Bifulco and Ladd (2007) found that in North Carolina, charter schools widened the black-white achievement gap; the relatively large negative effect of charter schools on the achievement of black students was driven by students who transferred into charter schools that were more racially isolated than the schools they left.

Renzulli (2006) examined how two factors—segregation at the school level within districts and charter school legislation—predicted black enrollment levels at local charter schools. Specifically, the study used the Schools and Staffing Survey Charter School Data 1999—2000 (NCES, 1999), Common Core of Data, and a unique data set of district test scores to estimate regression models of black enrollment in charter schools on district racial segregation and race provisions in charter school legislation. In addition, the presence of a racial clause in

state charter school laws was associated with a higher percent of black students enrolled in charter schools. For example, New Jersey's law states: "The evaluation shall include, but not limited to, consideration of the following elements:...(5) the comparative demographics of student enrollments in school districts of residence and the charter schools located within those districts"

(http://www.state.nj.us/njded/chartsch/cspa95. html). Florida's clause, similar to the New Jersey clause, is another example: "Such students shall be subject to a random lottery and to the racial/ethnic balance provisions which require a school to achieve racial/ethnic balance reflective of the community it serves or within the racial/ethnic range of other public schools in the same school district (Fla. Stat. Ann. § 228.056). Findings suggest that the extent of racial segregation in a school district (in which white and black students are more unevenly distributed across schools) is positively correlated with the percentage of blacks enrolled in local charter schools. Segregation patterns also differed by region, with charter schools in the West, South, and Midwest enrolling higher percentages of white students than charter schools in the Northeast.

Garcia (2008) compared the racial composition of the district schools students left to the charter schools they entered. He found that elementary and middle school students entered charter schools that were more racially segregated than the district schools they left, while high school students entered charter schools with levels of racial segregation lower than or similar to the district schools they exited. Garcia also found that racial segregation patterns in charter schools were the result of white flight and black and Native American students self-segregating into charter schools that were more racially isolated than the district schools they left. Several studies have found a link between parent preference and charter

school segregation (Tedin & Weiher, 2004; Eckes, 2006; Ausbrooks, Barrett & Daniel, 2005); these studies have found that given a choice, the majority of parents send their children to schools with children and families of the same race.

In sum, there is current evidence that charter schools systematically exacerbate patterns of racial segregation. The bulk of the research found greater segregation in charter schools than in other public schools and there is little evidence that charter schools are reducing students' isolation by race.

Other Aspects of the Charter School Movement

The Effect of Charter Schools on Teachers Unions

Most charter laws exempt charter schools from state and local collective bargaining provisions. Because this exemption threatens the union power base and leaves charter school teachers without representation, teachers' unions and district-level leaders typically oppose charter schools. Several states have made compromises in charter school guidelines to accommodate teachers unions including limiting the number of charter schools allowed, agreeing that charter school employees would be subject to state collective bargaining law, and stipulating that only local school boards could approve charter schools. In each state, after the passage of a charter school law, unions have made attempts to prevent charter schools from opening.

Charter School Closures

Of the approximately 6,700 charter schools that have opened across the United States, 1,036 have closed since 1992 (Center for Education Reform, 2015). Nationally, financial deficiencies are the most common cause of charter school closure, responsible for 42 percent of closures; these deficiencies are most often due to low student enrollment or inequitable funding.

Charter schools across the United States are funded at 64 percent of their district counterparts. On average, charter schools are funded at \$7,131 per pupil compared to \$11,184 per pupil at conventional district public schools (Center for Education Reform, 2014). Mismanagement is the second most common cause, leading to 24 percent of all closures. Nearly 20 percent of closures occur because a school failed to meet acceptable student performance levels.

Implications of Charter School Outcomes

In this article, I have examined the charter movement and charter schools across the dimensions outlined in the charter school concept. I draw on empirical research to determine whether the goals of the reform were met, were not met, or were mixed, based on study contexts and methods. Understanding the conditions under which charter schools are effective will help policymakers and scholars push policy debates forward and assess the strengths and weaknesses of the movement.

According to the charter school concept, which emphasizes fulfillment of educational goals, it appears that charter schools are doing well in some areas but not as well in others. This review has implications for addressing student achievement. Here the picture is a bit troubling. While there are some reports that produce impressive academic gains, there are several that are not producing gains across the board.

Charter school proponents contend that the charter schools' benefits will extend to students in non-charter schools. The belief is that charter schools will serve as public education's research and development sector, developing innovative practices that can be adopted by other schools, but, as the research demonstrated, innovation is relegated to administrative practices more than curricular practices. Despite the fact that charter schools are less innovative than anticipated, most

charter school parents, teachers, and students are quite satisfied with their choices.

Any claims made about charter schools must be considered in the context of two important facts about education in the United States. First, while charter school laws and the reform have set out a number of goals of charter schools, there remains much dispute about their relative importance with respect to traditional public schools. For most parents, student achievement is the overriding goal of all schools. The intermediary goals are stepping stones to achieving the final outcome. Others recognize the value of student achievement but place more emphasis on customer satisfaction or equity. One of the things that make this debate so difficult to resolve is disagreement over how much weight to give each of the many goals.

Perhaps the most unexpected development of the charter school movement is the emergence of charter school networks such as CMOS and EMOs as an integral part of the charter school landscape. If the academic success identified in early studies of CMO performance is supported by additional research, it will be important to determine what is unique about these models in terms of their organizational structure and education programs, why are they successful, and whether their innovations can be adapted to the district setting.

Conclusion and Remaining Gaps in the Literature on Charter Schools

While research on charter schools has produced many important findings over the past 20 years, there is still much to learn. From a policy perspective, questions remain about the relationship between authorizers and CMOs: Should authorizers treat CMOs with a record of strong performance differently in their chartering applications, oversight, or renewal

procedures? Given the variety of charter authorizing policies, what might be done to help CMOs replicate successful models across state lines? Should there be a role for federal, state and local policies in facilitating and regulating the scale-up of high-quality CMOs?

With regard to autonomy, future studies should examine whether charters are actually utilizing autonomy to bring about increased academic performance, or whether the autonomy granted to charters remains unused. Exactly how does school-level autonomy—or the perception of autonomy—influence student achievement? In addition, the relationship between autonomy and the growth of charter districts needs further exploration.

Finally, future studies should address the "black box" of charter schooling to untangle the effectiveness of different instructional approaches—including project-based learning, bilingual education, team teaching, and themebased approaches—on student achievement. Moreover, studies should tackle the issues of principal and teacher turnover in charter schools and work to identify effective practices among the leadership.

Notes

1. The role of the charter school authorizer is to first approve charter applications and then monitor the schools to ensure success. The more organized and active an authorizer is, the more likely problems within individual charter schools will be uncovered and fixed early. Authorizers are ultimately responsible for the operational and educational integrity of each charter school they sponsor and for closing any that fail to function responsibly. Depending on the state charter school law, authorizers can be local school boards, state boards of education, state universities, state departments of education, or separate independent entities created by law that have as their sole duty sponsoring and overseeing charter schools in the state.

References

- Abdulkadiroğlu, A., Angrist, J. D., Dynarski, S. M., Kane, T. J., & Pathak, P. A. (2011). Accountability and flexibility in public schools: Evidence from Boston's charters and pilots. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126(2), 699-748.
- Abdulkadiroglu, A., Pathak, P. A., & Roth, A. E. (2009).

 Strategy-proofness versus efficiency in matching
 with indifferences: redesigning the New York City
 high school match (No. w14864). National Bureau of
 Economic Research.
- Adamowski, S., Therriault, S. B., & Cavana, A. P. (2007). *The autonomy gap: Barriers to effective school leadership*, Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research and Thomas Fordham Foundation.
- Angrist, J. D., Pathak, P. A., & Walters, C. R. (2011).

 Explaining charter school effectiveness (No. w17332). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Apple, M. (1996). *Cultural Politics and Education*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Apple, M. (2001). Educating the "right" way: Markets, standards, God and inequality. New York: Routledge Falmer.
- Arsen, D., Plank, D., & Sykes, G. (1999). School Choice Policies in Michigan: The Rules Matter. For full text: http://edtech. connect. msu. edu/choice/conference/default. asp..
- Ausbrooks, C. Y. B., Barrett, E. J., & Daniel, T. (2005). Texas charter school legislation and the evolution of openenrollment charter schools. *Education policy analysis archives*, 13(21), n21.
- Ausbrooks, C. (2002). Ensuring That Underrepresented Student Groups Have Access to Charter Schools: What States Are Doing. *Planning and Changing*, 33(n3&4), 185-96.
- Betts, J. R., & Hill, P. T. (2010). School Policy and Research.

 Taking Measure of Charter Schools: Better

 Assessments, Better Policymaking, Better Schools,
 203.
- Bifulco, R., & Ladd, H. F. (2007). School choice, racial segregation, and test-score gaps: Evidence from North Carolinas charter school program*. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 26(1), 31.
- Bifulco, R., & Ladd, H. F. (2006). The impacts of charter schools on student achievement: Evidence from North Carolina. *Education*, 1(1), 50-90.
- Booker, K., Gilpatric, S. M., Gronberg, T., & Jansen, D. (2007). The impact of charter school attendance on student performance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 91(5), 849-876.
- Center for Education Reform, (2014). Charter School Facts,
 Retrieved from

- https://www.edreform.com/issues/choice-charter-schools/facts on March 16, 2015.
- Center for Education Reform (2015). The State of Charter Schools: What we know and what we do not about performance and accountability. Retrieved from https://www.edreform.com on June11, 2015.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes, (2013).

 National Charter School Study. Stanford, CA:
 CREDO, Stanford University.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes, (2009).

 National Charter School Study. Stanford, CA:
 CREDO, Stanford University.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes, (2009),

 Multiple Choices: Charter school Performance in 16

 states, Stanford, CA: CREDO, Stanford University.
- Chubb, J. E., & Moe, T. M. (1990). Politics, markets, and America's schools. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution.
- Cobb, C. D., & Glass, G. V. (1999). Ethnic segregation in Arizona charter schools. *Education policy analysis archives*, 7, 1.
- Crawford, J.R. (2001). Teacher autonomy and accountability in charter schools. *Education and Urban Society*, 33(2), 186-200.
- Davis, D. H., & Raymond, M. E. (2012). Choices for studying choice: Assessing charter school effectiveness using two quasi-experimental methods. *Economics of Education Review*, 31(2), 225-236.
- Dobbie, W., & Fryer Jr, R. G. (2011). Are high-quality schools enough to increase achievement among the poor?

 Evidence from the Harlem Children's Zone.

 American Economic Journal: Applied Economics,
 158-187.
- Eckes, S. E. (2006). Barriers to integration in the mississippi delta: Could charter schools be the new vehicle for desegregation?. *Analyses of social issues and public policy*, *6*(1), 15-30.
- Furgeson, J., Gill, B., Haimson, J., Killewald, A., McCullough, M., Nichols-Barrer, I. & Hill, P. (2012). Charter-school management organizations: Diverse strategies and diverse student impacts. *Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.*
- Fuller, B. (2000). *Inside Charter Schools: The Paradox of Radical Decentralization*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Garcia, D. R. (2008). Academic and Racial Segregation in Charter Schools Do Parents Sort Students Into Specialized Charter Schools?. Education and Urban Society, 40(5), 590-612.
- Gawlik, M. A. (2012). Moving Beyond the Rhetoric: Charter School Reform and Accountability. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 105(3), 210-219.

- Gawlik, M. A. (2008). Breaking Loose: Principal Autonomy in Charter and Public Schools. *Educational Policy*, 22 (6), 783-804.
- Gawlik, M. A. (2007). Beyond the Charter School House Door: Teacher Perceived Autonomy. *Education and Urban Society*, *39* (4), 524-553.
- Gleason, P., Clark, M., Tuttle, C. C., & Dwoyer, E. (2010). The Evaluation of Charter School Impacts: Final Report. NCEE 2010-4029. National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Gross, B., Bowen, M., & Martin, K., (2012). Assessing the
 Charter School Landscape in *Hopes, Fears & Reality:*A Balanced Look at American Charter Schools in
 2011, Eds. R.J. Lake and B. Gross, Seattle, WA:
 Center for Reinventing Public Education.
- Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., Rivkin, S. G., & Branch, G. F. (2007). Charter school quality and parental decision making with school choice. *Journal of public* economics, 91(5), 823-848.
- Horn, J., & Miron, G. (2000). An evaluation of Michigan's charter school initiative: Performance, accountability, and impact. Kalamazoo, MI: Western Michigan University. *Evaluation Center*.
- Hoxby, C. M., Murarka, S., & Kang, J. (2009). How New York City's charter schools affect achievement. Cambridge, MA: New York City Charter Schools Evaluation Project, 1-85.
- Hoxby, C. M., & Murarka, S. (2008). New York City charter schools. *Education next*, 8(3).
- Kirst, M. W. (2007). Politics of Charter Schools: Competing National Advocacy Coalitions Meet Local Politics. *Peabody Journal of Education*. 82(3), 184-203.
- Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders. *Journal of School Choice*, 1(2), 83-100.
- Ladd, H. F. (2002). Market-based reforms in urban education. Washington, DC: Economic Policy Institute.
- Light, R. J., & Pillemer, D. B. (1984). The science of reviewing research. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets:

 Theory and evidence on the impact of competition and choice in charter schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, (40)2, 396-443.
- Lubienski, C. (2004). Charter school innovation in theory and practice: Autonomy, R & D, and curricular conformity. In K. Bulkley & P. Wohlstetter (Eds.), Taking account of charter schools: What's happened and what's next? (pp. 72-92). New York: Teachers' College Press.

- Malloy, C. L., & Wohlstetter, P. (2003). Working Conditions In Charter Schools What's the Appeal for Teachers?. Education and urban society, 35(2), 219-241.
- Manno, B. V., Finn, C. E., & Vanourek, G. (2000). Charter school accountability: Problems and prospects. *Educational Policy*, 14(4), 473-493.
- Margolis, J. (2005). "Every Day I Spin These Plates": A Case Study of Teachers Amidst the Charter Phenomenon. Educational Foundations, 19, 87-109.
- Marshall, P. L., Gibbs, D. C., Greene, T. M., Nelson, W. C., & Schofield, J. R. (2001). Research Reports: Teachers Reflect on Charter Schools. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 37(3), 129-132.
- Mead, S., & Rotherham, A.J., (2007). A Sum Greater than
 Its Parts: What States Can Teach Each Other About
 Charter Schooling, Washington, D.C.: Education
 Sector.
 http://www.educationsector.org/sites/default/files/

publications/CharterSchoolSummary.pdf.

- Miron, G., Urschel, J. L., Mathis, W, J., & Tornquist, E.
 (2010). Schools without Diversity: Education
 Management Organizations, Charter Schools and the
 Demographic Stratification of the American School
 System. Boulder and Tempe: Education and the
 Public Interest Center & Education Policy Research
 Unit. Retrieved September 13, 2015 from
 http://epicpolicy.org/publication/schools-without-diversity
- Miron, G., Nelson, C., & Risley, J. (2002). Strengthening Pennsylvania's Charter School Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues. Year Five Report.
- Miron, G., & Nelson, C. (2002). What's public about charter schools? Lessons learned about choice and accountability. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- National Alliance of Public Charter Schools, (2014).

 Dashboard, Retrieved from

 http://www.publiccharters.org/dashboard/home on

 May 6, 2014.
- National Center for Educational Statistics. (1999). Public Charter School Principal Questionnaire: Schools and staffing survey 1999-2000 school year. Washington, DC: United States Department of Education.
- Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Washington, DC: American Federation of Teachers.
- Ravitch, D. (2013). Reign of error: The hoax of the privatization movement and the danger to America's public schools. Vintage.
- Ravitch, D. (2010). The death and life of the great American school system. *New York: Basic Books*, 101, 21-30.
- Reardon, S.F. (2009) Review of "How New York City's Charter Schools Affect Achievement." Boulder and Tempe: Education and the Public Interest Center &

- Education Policy Re- search Unit. Retrieved February 9, 2016 from http://epicpolicy.org/thinktank/review-How-New-York- City-Charter
- Renzulli, L. A. (2006). District Segregation, Race Legislation, and Black Enrollment in Charter Schools*. *Social Science Quarterly*, 87(3), 618-637.
- Sass, T. R. (2006). Charter schools and student achievement in Florida. *Education*, 1(1), 91-122.
- Solomon, L. C. (2003). Findings from the 2002 survey of parents with children in Arizona charter schools: How parents grade their charter schools. Santa Monica, CA: Human Resources Policy Corporation.
- Tedin, K. L., & Weiher, G. R. (2004). Racial/ethnic diversity and academic quality as components of school choice. *Journal of Politics*, 66(4), 1109-1133.
- Walberg, H. J., & Bast, J. L. (2003). Education and Capitalism: How Overcoming Our Fear of Markets and Economics Can Improve (Vol. 521). Hoover Institution Press.
- Wells, A. S. Grutzik, C. Carnochan, S. Slayton, J., and Vasudeva, A. (1999). Underlying Policy Assumptions of Charter School Reform: The Multiple Meanings of a Movement. *Teachers College Record*, 100 (3): 513-535.
- Witte, J.F. (2000). The market approach to education: An analysis of America's first voucher program.

 Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Wohlstetter, P., Nayfack, M. B., & Mora-Flores, E. (2008). Charter schools and "customer" satisfaction: Lessons from field testing a parent survey. *Journal of School Choice*, 2(1), 66-84.
- Wohlstetter, P., Smith, J., & Farrell, C. (2013). Choices and Challenges: Charter school performance in perspective. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press
- Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., & Witte, J. (2012). Examining charter student achievement effects across seven states. *Economics of Education Review*, 31(2), 213-224.
- Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., Sass, T. R., & Witte, J. (2009). *Charter schools in eight states: Effects on achievement, attainment, integration, and competition* (Vol. 869). Rand Corporation.
- Zimmer, R., & Buddin, R. (2006). Charter school performance in two large urban districts. *Journal of Urban Economics*, 60(2), 307-326.

About the Author

Marytza A. Gawlik, Phd is an assistant professor in the educational leadership and policy studies department at Florida State University. Her research focuses on charter school leaders and teachers and highlights issues of autonomy, accountability and succession.

Appendix A Summary of Articles Reviewed

Study	Research Tradition	Intermediary goal focus	Final goal focus
Author, Date, Title	Economics or	Intermedial J Sour rocus	I mai goui rocus
ruthor, Dutc, Title	Education		
Abdulkadiroğlu, A., Angrist, J. D., Dynarski, S. M., Kane, T. J., & Pathak, P. A. (2011). Accountability and flexibility in public schools: Evidence from Boston's charters and pilots.	Education Economics		Student Achievement: Lottery estimates show large and significant score gains for charter students in middle and high school. In contrast, lottery estimates for pilot school students are mostly small and insignificant, with some significant negative effects. Charter schools
			with binding assignment
			lotteries appear to generate larger gains
			than other charters.

-		T	
Abdulkadiroglu, A., Pathak, P. A., &	Economics		Student Achievement: The authors analyze a
Roth, A. E. (2009). Strategy-proofness versus efficiency in matching with indifferences: redesigning the New York City high school match			model with indifferences—ties—in school preferences. Simulations with field data and the theory favor breaking indifferences the same way at every school—single tie breaking—in a student-proposing deferred acceptance mechanism. Finally, they empirically document the extent of potential efficiency loss associated with strategy-proofness and stability, and direct attention to some open questions.
Adamowski, S., Therriault, S. B., & Cavana, A. P. (2007). The autonomy gap: Barriers to effective school leadership,	Education	Principal and Teacher Autonomy: Principal autonomy is linked to state laws concerning unionization and whether principals have hiring and firing rights	
Angrist, J. D., Pathak, P. A., & Walters, C. R. (2011). Explaining charter school effectiveness	Economics		Student Achievement: Estimates using admissions lotteries suggest that urban charter schools boost student achievement, while charter schools in other settings do not. Using the largest available sample of lotteried applicants to charter schools, the authors explore student-

			level and school-level explanations for this difference in Massachusetts.
Arsen, D., Plank, D., & Sykes, G. (1999). School Choice Policies in Michigan: The Rules Matter	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: The report examines geographical patterns of school choice participation among Michigan's state districts, and investigates how schools and districts have responded to the challenges and opportunities posed by choice policies.	
Ausbrooks, C. Y. B., Barrett, E. J., & Daniel, T. (2005). Texas charter school legislation and the evolution of open- enrollment charter schools.	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: This article chronicles the evolution of legislation for Texas openenrollment charter schools to their implementation by demonstrating how these schools have (or have not) used their freedom from statemandated requirements to develop innovative learning environments as well as to bring innovative curricula into the classroom.	
Ausbrooks, C. (2002). Ensuring That Underrepresented Student Groups Have Access to Charter Schools: What States Are Doing	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: Describes various provisions in state statutes that ensure underrepresented student groups have equal access to charter schools. Includes facilitating student access, student admission, geographic boundary restrictions, and student transportation. Provides summary of student transportation provisions in seven state charter-school statutes. Also describes what some charter schools are doing to ensure equal student access.	
Gawlik, M.A. (2012). Moving Beyond the Rhetoric: Charter School Reform and Accountability	Education	Policy Lever: The author examined how local charter school educators respond to the accountability measures being imposed on them. In an effort to understand teachers' and administrators' experiences with public school accountability, the author explores how educators in 4 charter schools in Michigan	

Gawlik, M.A. (2008). Breaking Loose: Principal Autonomy in Charter and Public Schools	Education	understand recent accountability mandates withrespect to school reform. Principal and Teacher Autonomy: Because various aspects of the school organization matter, this study was designed to determine to what degree principals in both charter and traditional public schools experience autonomy. This quantitative study draws on the 1999-2000 School and Staffing Survey, and the analyses suggest	
		that there are variations in the degree and amount of principal autonomy experienced across charter and traditional public schools.	
Gawlik, M.A. (2007). Beyond the Charter Schoolhouse Door: Teacher-Perceived Autonomy	Education	Principal and Teacher Autonomy: This article presents a study that explores the relationship between charter schools and teacher autonomy. The theoretical framework is based on the charter school concept, whereby three policy levers—choice, deregulation, and accountability—lead to various goals for the charter school.	
Bifulco, R., & Ladd, H. F. (2007). School choice, racial segregation, and test-score gaps: Evidence from North Carolinas charter school program	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: In this paper the authors use the experience of charter school students in North Carolina to examine how one popular approach to expanding school choice – charter schools has affected students of different races and socioeconomic backgrounds. In particular, we examine whether and the extent to which black families in North Carolina have used the state's charter school program to attend more integrated schools and how the student sorting induced by the program has affected the racial achievement gap.	
Booker, K., Gilpatric, S. M., Gronberg, T., & Jansen, D. (2007). The impact of charter school attendance on	Economics		Student Achievement: The authors employ a panel of individual student data on math and reading test performance for five cohorts of students in Texas to

1		T	. 1 41
student performance			study the impact of charter school attendance. They control for school mobility effects and distinguish movement to a charter school from movement within and between traditional public school districts. They find students experience poor test score growth in their initial year in a charter school, but that this is followed by recovery in the subsequent years.
Cobb, C. D., & Glass, G. V. (1999). Ethnic segregation in Arizona charter schools	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: This study addressed whether Arizona charter schools are more ethnically segregated than traditional public schools. Nearly half of the charter schools exhibited evidence of substantial ethnic separation. Arizona charter schools not only contained a greater proportion of White students, but when comparable nearby traditional public schools were used forcomparison, the charters were typically 20 percentage points higher in White enrollment than the other publics.	the subsequent years.
Crawford, J.R. (2001). Teacher autonomy and accountability in charter schools.	Education	Principal and Teacher Autonomy: A study was conducted to examine the differences between charter school and traditional public school teachers' perceptions of empowerment, and specifically of decision making and autonomy. The findings indicate that traditional public school teachers in Colorado have the perception that they have more decision making opportunities and more autonomy than their counterparts in charter schools, whereas there is little or no difference between the perceptions of charter and traditional public school teachers in Michigan.	
Davis, D. H., & Raymond, M. E. (2012). Choices for	Economics	- 9-	Student Achievement: Two quasi-experimental methods – fixed effects

		(770) 1 1 1 1 1
studying choice:		(FE) and virtual control
Assessing charter		records (VCR) – were
school effectiveness		used to measure charter
using two quasi-		schooling in 14 states
experimental		and two districts. A
methods.		head-to-head comparison
		of the FE and VCR
		methods used the same
		charter students to test
		the FE control (e.g., the
		charter student's own
		traditional public school
		experience) and the VCR
		for equivalence. The
		comparison produced
		highly similar estimates;
		charter coefficients were
		identical in sign and
		significance and of the
		same general
		magnitudes. In an
		analysis of the sampling
		fractions included in
		each method using all
		available tested charter
		students, the VCR
		method was found to
		produce more
		generalizable results. In
		the policy analysis,
		charter school quality
		was found to be
		demographically and
		geographically uneven
		with only 19 percent of
		charter schools
		outperforming their local
		markets.
Dobbie, W., & Fryer	Economics	Student Achievement:
Jr, R. G. (2011). Are		The authors provide the
high-quality schools		first empirical test of the
enough to increase		causal impact of Harlem
achievement among		Children's Zone (HCZ)
the poor? Evidence		charters on educational
from the Harlem		outcomes. Both lottery
Children's Zone.		and instrumental
Cilitaten 8 Zulie.		variables identification
		strategies suggest that
		the effects of attending
		an HCZ middle school
		are enough to close the
		black-white achievement
		gap in mathematics. The
		effects in elementary
		school are large enough
		to close the racial
		1

			achievement gap in both mathematics and ELA. They conclude with evidence that suggests high-quality schools are enough to significantly increase academic achievement among the poor.
Eckes, S. E. (2006). Barriers to integration in the Mississippi delta: Could charter schools be the new vehicle for desegregation?		Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: This study explored the barriers to educational integration in the rural Mississippi Delta region. In Delta County, students have generally been divided between a black public school and an all white private academy. In this current case study, the researcher sought to learn whether a new high-performing charter school, where the three barriers were not present, would encourage racial integration in Delta County. Through interviews and observations, the current case study explored whether the barriers articulated by white parents in the earlier study were simply rhetoric. The current study found that white parents were still not choosing the charter school, even though no barriers were present.	
Furgeson, J., Gill, B., Haimson, J., Killewald, A., McCullough, M., Nichols-Barrer, I., & Hill, P. (2012).	Education	Governance: The National Study of CMO Effectiveness aims to fill the gap in systematic evidence about CMOs, providing the first rigorous nationwide examination of CMOs' effects on students' achievement and attainment. The study includes an examination of the relationships between the practices of individual CMOs and their effects on student achievement, with the aim of providing useful guidance to the field.	
Garcia, D. R. (2008). Academic and Racial Segregation in Charter Schools Do Parents Sort Students Into	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: This article focuses on how parental school choices affect the degree of racial and academic segregation in charter schools. The research design allows	

Cassislias I Clauta		for a direct commonicate of the second	1
Specialized Charter		for a direct comparison of the racial	
Schools?		and academic conditions of the	
		district schools students exited to	
		the charter schools they entered.	
		Parents choose to leave more	
		racially integrated district schools to	
		attend more racially segregated	
		charter schools. Simultaneously,	
		parents enroll their students into	
		*	
		charter schools with at least the	
		same degree of academic	
		integration as the district schools	
		that students exited. The academic	
		and racial segregation results are	
		then used to test the extent to which	
		students congregate into specialized	
		char-ter schools according to	
		hypothesized patterns. The findings	
		call into question the assertion of	
		charter school advocates that	
		segregated conditions in charter	
		schools are the result of students	
		self-selecting into specialized	
		charter schools.	
Gleason, P., Clark,	Education		Student Achievement:
M., Tuttle, C. C., &	Education		The evaluation, which
Dwoyer, E. (2010).			the authors conducted in
The Evaluation of			36 charter middle
Charter School			schools across 15 states,
Impacts: Final			compares outcomes of
Report.			students who applied and
			were admitted to these
			schools through
			randomized admissions
			lotteries (lottery
			winners) with the
			outcomes of students
			who also applied to these
			schools and participated
			in the lotteries but were
			not admitted (lottery
			losers). This analytic
			approach produces the
			most reliable impact
			estimates. But because
			the study could only
			include charter middle
			schools that held
			lotteries, the results do
			not necessarily apply to the full set of charter
i			the full cot of charter
			middle schools in the

		U.S.
Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., Rivkin, S. G., & Branch, G. F. (2007). Charter school quality and parental decision making with school choice Horn, J., & Miron,	Economics	Student Achievement: This paper uses panel data for the state of Texas to overcome impediments to the evaluation of charter school performance and to investigate the quality of charter schools relative to traditional public schools. Additionally, it provides a first glimpse at how the availability of charter schools affects the ways in which parents respond to school quality differences. By eliminating the need to move residences in order to switch schools, charter schools would be expected to lead to an increase in the sensitivity of parents to school quality and amplify the competitive pressure on public schools.
G. (2000). An evaluation of Michigan's charter school initiative: Performance, accountability, and impact. Hoxby, C. M., Murarka, S., & Kang, J. (2009). How New York City's charter schools affect achievement.	Economics	Student Achievement: This report analyzes the achievement of 93 percent of the New York City charter school students who were enrolled in test-taking grades (grades 3 through 12) in 2000-01 through 2007-08. The remaining students are not covered by this report for one of
		two reasons. 5 percent of charter school students in test-taking grades were enrolled in schools

		that opened from 2006-07 onwards. Their achievement will be covered by the next report of the New York City Charter Schools Evaluation Project. 2 percent of charter school students in test-taking grades were enrolled in schools that declined to participate in the study. The most distinctive feature of the study is that charter schools' effects on achievement are estimated by the best available, "gold standard" method: lotteries.
Hoxby, C. M., & Murarka, S. (2008). New York City charter schools.	Economics	Student Achievement: This study addresses two main questions about charter schools in the city. First, who enrolls in New York City's charter schools? And, second, how well are the schools educating students? What we found is that, compared with other students in the traditional public schools, charter school applicants are more likely to be black and poor but are otherwise fairly similar. We also found that charter school students benefit academically from their charter school education. Charter school students in grades 3 through 8 perform better than we would expect, based on the performance of comparable students in traditional public schools, on both the math and reading portions of New York's statewide achievement tests. There is not yet a

Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school saccountability: Listening to our stakcholders Listening to our stakcholders Listening to wassessment system for five charter schools in Miami-Dade County and Broward County, Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results are deal of the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and covience on the				
Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Listening to our stakeholders, decunty and assessment system for five charter schools in Miamir-Dade County and Broward County, Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basie skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				sufficient number of
Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Broward County and Broward County and Broward County, Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special programs, teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey restlis were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders A manual countability: Listening to our stakeholders A manual countability: Listening to our stakeholders A manual countability: Listening to our stakeholders A manual county Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and momitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionmaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and				
Lacey, C. H., Enger, J. M., Maldonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Listening to our stakeholders Listening to our stakeholders Libienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and markets: T				achievement effects for
J. M., Matdonado, N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Stakeho				this group.
N., & Thompson, S. (2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Stakeholders, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and instrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.	Lacey, C. H., Enger,			Customer Satisfaction:
(2006). Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Listening to our stakeholder Li	J. M., Maldonado,			Stakeholder surveys
COOD, Charter school accountability: Listening to our stakeholders	N., & Thompson, S.			conducted as part of the
accountability and assessment system for five charter schools in Miami-Dade County and Broward County. Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, besie skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissantiation with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program cachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and	(2006). Charter			
accountability: Listening to our stakeholders Lustening to our stakeholders Lustening to our stakeholders Lustening to our stakeholders Lustening to our stakeholders Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and monitoring assessment system for five charter schools in Miami-Dade County, and Broward County, Plointda, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.	school			
Listening to our stakeholders Stakeholders Stakeholder	accountability:			
stakeholders Miami-Dade County and Broward County, Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, leachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and aintistrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Broward County, Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This studdy provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				Miami-Dade County and
Florida, revealed high positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and ministrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
positive response regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003), Innovation or organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
regarding high expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
expectations, school climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in deutation markets: Theory and				
climate, basic skills instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003), Innovation in movation in ducation markets: Theory and				
instruction, and monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Spray and a conomic theory, this strictle considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
monitoring student progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an aecountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in linevation in linevation in strice considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
progress. The lowest overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
overall rating revealed dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. [2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
dissatisfaction with charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and				
charter school resources. Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and				
Five researchers distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and in education shaping educational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
distributed questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and				
questionnaires to stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and				
stakeholders, defined as parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in deducation markets: Theory and				
parents, pupils, teachers, administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
administrators, special program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				*
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation markets: Theory and program teachers, and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and auxiliary personnel. Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and Survey results were generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and generally positive in assessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Education markets: Theory and sessessing the schools, programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and programs, teachers, administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and including administrators, and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and relationships between the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				1 0
the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, in education markets: Theory and the various stakeholder groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Education Lubienski, Theory and Education Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in education in groups. This study provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in Education Lubienski, Theory and Education Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in provided the quantitative data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and data needed to form the framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and framework for the development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and development and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and implementation of an accountability system. Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in				
Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education (2005). Theory and Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in accountability system.				
Lubienski, C. Education Innovation: Drawing on organizational and economic theory, in education markets: Theory and shaping educational innovation in				
(2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in				accountability system.
(2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in				
(2003). Innovation organizational and economic theory, this article considers the forces shaping education in				
in education this article considers the forces shaping educational innovation in		Education		
markets: Theory and shaping educational innovation in				
	in education		this article considers the forces	
	markets: Theory and		shaping educational innovation in	
	-			

impact of competition and choice in charter schools.		reformers assume that competition and choice necessarily lead to innovations within schools, a more complex examination of competitive institutional environments suggests that mechanisms employed by reformers may actually undercut their intended purposes. The discussion highlights the potential for choice and competition to constrain opportunities for educational innovation and to impose pedagogical and curricular conformity.	
Lubienski, C. (2004). Charter school innovation in theory and practice: Autonomy, R & D, and curricular conformity. Malloy, C. L., &	Education	Principal and Teacher Autonomy:	
Wohlstetter, P. (2003). Working Conditions In Charter Schools What's the Appeal for Teachers?.	Laucation	This article synthesizes past research findings on the work of charter school teachers and juxtaposes this research with case studies of forty charter school teachers in six urban charter elementary schools. Charter schools, with increased autonomy over personnel and budget, are given the freedom to make many decisions related to hiring, salary, and working conditions. In general, charter school teachers work longer hours and receive less job security than colleagues in traditional public schools. In some states, charter school teachers earn significantly less than other public school colleagues. The evidence also suggests, however, that teachers generally enjoy their professional lives in charter schools—their colleagues and the school's education program. The authors argue that in order to continue to attract and retain teachers, charter schools may need to extend their use of autonomy to improve the working conditions of teachers and ultimately, to extend the life of the	

		school.	
Margolis, J. (2005). "Every Day I Spin These Plates": A Case Study of Teachers Amidst the Charter Phenomenon	Education	Principal and Teacher Autonomy: This study, in contrast, seeks to provide a detailed, insider account of a charter school. Further, by focusing on charter teachers, the research seeks to understand how charter policy is actually lived by those who work closest with charter school students. However, because "charter school laws vary considerably from state-to- state" and within states run under a wide range of educational philosophies— it is impossible to speak of "charter policy" as a single entity to be experienced by teachers. Therefore, this study took a phenomenological stance, focusing first on the original and concrete experiences of teachers in a single charter school, and then later examining these experiences in light of how the school's charter status impacted teacher meaning-making.	
Miron, G., Urschel, J. L., Mathis, W, J., & Tornquist, E. (2010). Schools without Diversity: Education Management Organizations, Charter Schools and the Demographic Stratification of the American School System.	Education	Governance and Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: The primary purpose of this study is to examine how EMOs appear to affect the segregation or integration of schools by race, economic class, special education status, and language. This is accomplished through examining differences in enrollment patterns between schools operated by EMOs and schools run by their neighboring local districts. The shifts in segregative/integrative patterns over time are also examined. In addition, this study explores whether for-profit and nonprofit status, the number of schools operated by an EMO, the instructional levels of schools (ele- mentary, middle, and high), and the number of years in operation are associated with these patterns of segregative/integrative balances.	
Miron, G., Nelson,	Education		Customer Satisfaction:

C., & Risley, J. (2002). Strengthening Pennsylvania's Charter School Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Strengthening Reference of the statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Strengthening Reference of the statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Statewide Reference of the statewide Statewide Issues Reference of the statewide Reversity over two years. The study used site visits, work sample review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement? Refectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and stuff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality, Overall, charter school were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in Efficience one steeled with	C % Dislay I		In 2001 the
Strengthening Pennsylvania's Charter School Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Statewide Evaluation and Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Strengthening Charter School and charter schools and charter schools and charter schools and charter school sand charter schools and charter schools and charter schools and charter schools and charter schools structly strengthening State Pennsylvania's charter school sand charter schools struct pelalenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality, Overall, charter school were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N, (2003). Update on student achievement The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state successions and scheme control sequence successions state suc	•		
Pennsylvania's Charter School Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Relevant Policy Relevant	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Charter School Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Michigan University to evaluate Pempsylvania's charter school initiative over two years. The study used site visits, work sample review, document review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Voverall, charter school quality. Voverall, charter school quality. Voverall, charter school quality and geographically and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Reform: Findings From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Relevant Relevant Relevant Policy Issues Relevant Rele			
From the Statewide Evaluation and Discussion of Relevan Policy Issues Charter school initiative over two years. The study used site visits, work sample review, document review, form of the study used site visits, work sample review, document review, form of the study used site visits, work sample review, document review, form of the study used site visits, work sample review, document review, form of the study used site visits, work and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student and elevelopment, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Voerall, charter school quality. Voerall, charter school quality. Voerall, charter school quality. Student and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Student and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Student and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Student and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Student and instruction, though the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally startified with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources.			
Evaluation and Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues Relevant Policy Issues Charter school initiative over two years. The study used site visits, work sample review, document review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, working conditions, professional development, astisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality, Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally statisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
Discussion of Relevant Policy Issues State			
Relevant Policy Issues study used site visits, work sample review, document review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003), Update on student achievement The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Issues work sample review, document review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, imnovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school were making modest achievement gains against exclosely, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Student Achievement: The American description of Teachers compares student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
document review, focus groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement Federation of Teachers compares student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.	Relevant Policy		
groups, portfolios and surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools line.	Issues		
surveys to gather data regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			document review, focus
regarding the movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Pederation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
movement's effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. sasessments in 2000-01 in			surveys to gather data
effectiveness, progress, and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality and geographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Pederation of Teachers compares student contact assessments in 2000-01 in			regarding the
and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			movement's
and impact. The report focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			effectiveness, progress,
focuses on methods, descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality, Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
descriptions of the reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement: compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			1 1
reform, charter school startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, version conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
startup challenges, finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
finances, student and family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality. Overall, charter school quality and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. (achievement safe)			
family characteristics, teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
teacher and staff characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. assessments in 2000-01in			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
characteristics, working conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. assessments in 2000-01in			
conditions, professional development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. assessments in 2000-01in			
development, satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. assessments in 2000-01in			
satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Satisfaction levels, innovation, equity, accounted achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school were making modest achievement. The famous against demographically and geographically similar schools achievement. The American resources.			
innovation, equity, accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			
accountability, student achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement the Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student for Edison Schools Inc. achievement, and alternative indicators of charter school customers were making modest achievement achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. alternative indicators of charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools against demographically and geographically and			
charter school quality. Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Overall, charter schools were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Student Achievement compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. were making modest achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. achievement gains against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. against demographically and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			were making modest
and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. and geographically similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			achievement gains
similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Similar schools, although the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			against demographically
the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. the gains were not uniform. Charter school uniform.			and geographically
the gains were not uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. the gains were not uniform. Charter school uniform.			
uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Education uniform. Charter school customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Customers were generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Education generally satisfied with the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. the curriculum and instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. instruction, though less so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
so with facilities and resources. Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement compares student for Edison Schools Inc. so with facilities and resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. resources. Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Nelson, F. H., & Education Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Education Student Achievement: The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Van Meter, N. (2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. The American Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in2000-01in	Nolson E U %	Education	
(2003). Update on student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. Federation of Teachers compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in		Education	
student achievement for Edison Schools Inc. compares student performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in	-		
for Edison Schools Inc. performance on state assessments in 2000-01 in			
Inc. assessments in 2000-01 in			
			=
Edison was schools with	Inc.		
			Edison-run schools with
other comparable school			other comparable school

			sin the state. The
			methods used to assess
			student achievement in
			Edison-run schools are
			the same methods used
			to evaluate achievement
			in other public schools.
			Following are some of
			the AFT's findings:
			Averaged across all states, the typical Edison
			school performed below
			average. The typical
			Edison school improved
			modestly after poor first-
			year student
			achievement but not
			enough tor each average
			in its comparison group.
			Predominantly African-
			American schools
			managed by Edison
			ranked well below
			average compared with
			other public schools in
			their comparison groups.
			There port states that the
			outlook for Edison's
			prospects appears mixed
Renzulli, L. A.	Education	To 't /A to NT. Til	
(2006). District	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: This article examines	
Segregation, Race		how segregation at the school level	
Legislation, and		within districts and carter school	
Black Enrollment in		legislation predict black enrollment	
Charter Schools		levels at local charter schools.	
Charter Sensons		Findings suggest that segregated	
		school districts, those districts	
		where whites and blacks are more	
		unevenly distributed among	
		schools, have a larger percentage of	
		blacks enrolled in local charter	
		schools than districts where schools	
		are integrated.	
Sass, T. R. (2006).			Student Achievement: In
Charter schools and			this paper the author
student achievement			utilizes a new
in Florida.			longitudinal data base
			from Florida to address
			three key issues relating
			to charter schools and student achievement.
			First, how does the
			impact of charter schools
			impact of charter schools

ļ			
			on student achievement compare with traditional public schools? Second, to the extent that student performance varies among charter schools, what factors contribute to the difference in performance? Third, what competitive impact, if any, do charter schools have on traditional public schools? To empirically analyze these issues the author focuses on student achievement in traditional public schools and charters in Florida.
Solomon, L. C. (2003). Findings from the 2002 survey of parents with children in Arizona charter schools: How parents grade their charter schools.			Customer Satisfaction: The author surveyed 11,777 parents in Arizona charter schools, asking about satisfaction with academic programs, teaching, facilities, discipline, and school mission. Parents were most satisfied with the school's academic program and teaching. The author also asked parents to grade their child's school using a traditional "A+" to "F" scale; 66.9 percent gave their child's school an "A+" or "A."
Tedin, K. L., & Weiher, G. R. (2004). Racial/ethnic diversity and academic quality as components of school choice.	Education	Equity/Access to New Educational Opportunities: In this paper, the authors use an experimental design embedded in a survey to obtain an alternative measure of educational quality and racial diversity as considerations for household school choice. While both academic quality and race/ethnic diversity had an effect on preferences, academic quality was a more important predictor. They then examined the relationship between preference and actual choice outcomes. Racerelated opinions were nonpredictive	

		of outcomes, but a stress on high test scores by parents predicted school choice among students who are not "at risk."	
Wohlstetter, P., Nayfack, M. B., & Mora-Flores, E. (2008). Charter schools and "customer" satisfaction: Lessons from field testing a parent survey.	Education	Parent and Community Involvement: This article reports on both the process of development and the information gained from a field test of a parent stakeholder satisfaction survey for charter schools and other schools of choice. The survey has been designed to assist schools with recruiting and retaining educational consumers by providing information both for external accountability and internal accountability. Preliminary findings from the first stakeholder group surveyed—parents—suggest positive levels of satisfaction with charter schools overall. The findings also reveal that parents, especially those whose children attend new charter schools, are only moderately satisfied with the school facilities and support services offered to students. However, as the charter schools age, these concerns appear to be addressed through school improvement efforts. The authors conclude with a series of lessons for developing stakeholder satisfaction surveys for charter schools and other schools of choice.	Customer Satisfaction: This article reports on both the process of development and the information gained from a field test of a parent stakeholder satisfaction survey for charter schools and other schools of choice. The survey has been designed to assist schools with recruiting and retaining educational consumers by providing information both for external accountability and internal accountability. Preliminary findings from the first stakeholder group surveyed—parents—suggest positive levels of satisfaction with charter schools overall. The findings also reveal that parents, especially those whose children attend new charter schools, are only moderately satisfied with the school facilities and support services offered to students. However, as the charter schools age, these concerns appear to be addressed through school improvement efforts. The authors conclude with a series of lessons for developing stakeholder satisfaction surveys for charter schools and other schools of choice.
Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., & Witte, J.	Economics		Student Achievement: In this paper, the authors examine charter schools

(2012). Examining charter student achievement effects across seven states. in seven states taking two major steps to provide insights into the debate. First, they use consistent research approach to examine charter schools in each of the locations. Second they articulate and test the assumptions of our analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researches have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In sufficient that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., Sass, T. R., & Student Achievement The authors each of grow evidence and	
achievement effects across seven states. across seven states. provide insights into t debate. First, they use consistent research approach to examine charter schools in each of the locations. Second they articulate and test the assumptions of our analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In studie the authors do relaim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	g
across seven states. debate. First, they use consistent research approach to examine charter schools in each of the locations. Secon they articulate and test the assumptions of out analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In stocking the previous definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in an achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believer readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement Student Achievement The authors set out to the the sum of t	
consistent research approach to examine charter schools in each of the locations. Secon they articulate and tes the assumptions of ou analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do re claim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
approach to examine charter schools in each of the locations. Second they articulate and test the assumptions of out analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In significant that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believer aders will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	se a
charter schools in each of the locations. Seconthey articulate and test the assumptions of out analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In survival that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	
of the locations. Seconthey articulate and test the assumptions of out analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structulated the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	•
they articulate and tes the assumptions of ou analytical strategy. Th suggest that some of t current confusion surrounding the previor research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do n claim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence tha any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu,	ch
the assumptions of ou analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structure, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	ond,
the assumptions of ou analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In structure, they do are that readers will have greater confidence the any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	est
analytical strategy. The suggest that some of the current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strength and weaknesses of the research designs. In such while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	
suggest that some of t current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do relaim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	
current confusion surrounding the previous research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In su while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	
surrounding the previor research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In stypical while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do are that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	tiic
research is that researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do n claim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence tha any differences in achievement effects across locations are ne the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	.:
researchers have not always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do relaim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	10us
always clearly articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In st while the authors do r claim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence tha any differences in achievement effects across locations are no the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
articulated the strengt and weaknesses of the research designs. In su while the authors do reclaim that our study is definitive, they do arge that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
and weaknesses of the research designs. In so while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do any that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Booker, K., Lavertu, Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	ļ
research designs. In st while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do any that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do any that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, While the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do argue that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu,	neir
while the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do any that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, While the authors do not claim that our study is definitive, they do argue that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu,	sum,
claim that our study is definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	
definitive, they do arg that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	
that readers will have greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Beconomics Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
greater confidence that any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Beconomics Student Achievement The authors set out to	
any differences in achievement effects across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Beconomics Student Achievement The authors set out to	
achievement effects across locations are no the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	iai
across locations are not the result of methodological differences and believe readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Beconomics Student Achievement The authors set out to	ļ
the result of methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	
methodological differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	not
differences and believ readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	ļ
readers will clearly understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	ļ
understand the assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	eve
assumptions made in their model. Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	ļ
Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, their model. Student Achievement. The authors set out to	
Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Economics Student Achievement The authors set out to	1
Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, Student Achievement The authors set out to	ļ
Booker, K., Lavertu, The authors set out to	ıt.
	¹
Charter schools in charter schools by	1
eight states: Effects examining four resear	
on achievement, questions: 1. What are	
attainment, the characteristics of	
integration, and students transferring t	
charter schools; 2. Wh	
effect do charter school	ools
have on test-score gai	ains
for students who trans	
between TPS and char	
schools; 3. What is the	
effect of attending a	
charter high school or	
the probability of	/11
	in~
graduating and entering	
college? 4. What effect	
does the introduction	ı ot

	charter schools have on
	test scores of students in
	nearby TPSs? They
	examine these questions
	using longitudinal,
	student-level
	achievement data from
	Chicago, San Diego,
	Philadelphia, Denver,
	Milwaukee, and the
	states of Ohio, Texas and
	Florida.